

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States (Patent) and Trademark Office Address: COMMESSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. By 1336 Alchandria, Virginia 22313-1450


APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/881,915	06/14/2001	William Kress Bodin	AUS920010502US1	8357
34533	7590 02/21/2006		EXAMINER	
	ONAL CORP (BLF) & OHANIAN, LLP			
P.O. BOX 146	· ·		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
AUSTIN, TX	78767-1469			
		DATE MAILED: 02/21/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

.							
Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief (37 CFR 41.37)		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/881,915	BODIN ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Haresh Patel	2154				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
The A 41.37	appeal Brief filed on <u>02 December 2005</u> is defective.	ve for failure to comply with one c	or more provision	ns of 37 CFR			
1205.	oid dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file an 03) within ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS from t NSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRA	he mailing date of this Notification					
1. 🗌	The brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 41.37(c), or the items are not under the proper heading or in the proper order.						
2. 🗌	The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, (e.g., rejected, allowed, withdrawn, objected to, canceled), or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iii)).						
3. 🗌	At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iv)).						
4. 🛚	(a) The brief does not contain a concise explanal claims involved in the appeal, referring to the significant by reference characters; and/or (b) the brief fair appeal and for each dependent claim argued significant significant significant for each claimed function with the drawings, if any, by reference characters (3)	pecification by page and line nun ls to: (1) identify, for each indepe eparately, every means plus fund forth the structure, material, or ac a reference to the specification by	nber and to the o ndent claim invo tion and step plo its described in t	drawings, if any, lived in the us function under he specification			
5. 🗌	The brief does not contain a concise statement 41.37(c)(1)(vi))	of each ground of rejection pres	ented for review	(37 CFR			
6. 🗌	The brief does not present an argument under a 41.37(c)(1)(vii)).	separate heading for each groun	d of rejection on	appeal (37 CFR			
7.	The brief does not contain a correct copy of the 41.37(c)(1)(viii)).	e appealed claims as an appendix	x thereto (37 CF	R			
8. 🗌	The brief does not contain copies of the evidence submitted under 37 CFR 1.130, 1.131, or 1.132 or of any other evidence entered by the examiner and relied upon by appellant in the appeal , along with a statement setting forth where in the record that evidence was entered by the examiner, as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(ix)).						
9. 🗌	The brief does not contain copies of the decision identified in the Related Appeals and Interferer 41.37(c)(1)(x)).						

See Continuation Sheet.

10. Other (including any explanation in support of the above items):



Continuation Sheet (PTOL-462)

Application No. 09/881,915

Continuation of 10. Other (including any explanation in support of the above items):

For example, the following paragraph of the Summary of claimed subject matter should contain respective portions of the specification for the support of each of the limitations and Fig. reference. For each provided Fig. reference, it should accompny respective portions (page and line numbers) of the specification that specifically support the claimed limitations, and portions of the specification and figure references that do not support the limitations are considered improper.

"In some embodiments, the member methods identified by URLs of remote director instructions are executed as separate computational processes, so-called heavyweight processes each execution of which involved a full context switch at the operating system level. In many embodiments of the present invention, however, the member methods identified by URLs of remote director instructions are executed as lightweight threads of execution, sharing memory segments with other threads and not requiring full context switches for execution. Many embodiments implement servlets in Java at least partly because Java as a computer language has particular support for threaded program execution in the form of Java's thread-level URL dispatch routines".